

East Sussex Partnerships Event 18 May 2009
A summary of feedback received from delegates on the day

87 delegates were confirmed to attend
 72 delegates attended on the day & 15 confirmed delegates were unable to attend on the day
 30 delegates completed feedback forms (41.7%)

| Q1 | How would you rate the following sessions? | <i>Very good</i> | <i>Good</i> | <i>Poor</i> | <i>Very poor</i> | <i>No answer</i> |
|-----------|--|------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Partnerships presentation – Lucy Dixon-Thompson | 50% | 39% | | | 11% |
| | Making Two-Tier Work presentation – Jane Burns & Anne Brinkoff | 29% | 50% | 14% | | 7% |
| | Break-out session by geography | 39% | 61% | | | |
| | Delivering Safer Communities – Marcus Gomm & Jeremy Leach | 32% | 54% | 11% | | 3% |
| | Break-out session by theme | 43% | 46% | 7% | | 4% |
| | Accessing Local Data – Kim Bloxham | 7% | 61% | 25% | 4% | 3% |

Q2 Did this event meet your expectations?

- Yes
- Exceeded expectations (jaded by too many meetings)
- Exceeded expectations and demonstrated new opportunities for more effective partnership working.
- Yes – good networking opportunity
- Mostly
- Theme sessions was spent discussing ESHOG which not all people in the workshop had attended
- Yes, I think the event was much needed to unpack current issues & to network with partners across East Sussex
- Yes, always useful to try to see through the eyes of others & to try to help others whilst delivering our priorities
- Yes, it was interesting
- Partly
- Yes in part. I think many of the failures of partnership working were demonstrated in the discussion groups
- Yes – VERY good
- Yes & no. Discussions were useful but no indication of how they might be actioned
- To a certain extent – wanted MORE on the district/county links in East Sussex

Q3 Was there anything we could or should have done to improve the Partnerships event?

- No
- Overall ambition of the event was possibly too wide
- Wider involvement e.g. members, parishes, VCS
- Some idea of who did what to facilitate the networking
- Do not have as the last session. The event needs to finish with lively workshop discussions
- The planning of the event could have involved wider partners that are involved with LSPs
- No opportunity to address issues arising at workshops further
- Included discussion around children and young people – discussion with CYPP before the event to inform planning
- Hold at twilight (4-7pm) to enable ‘real people in jobs’ to attend to represent their LSPs rather than just CC/DC/EA staff.
- Better attendance from Lewes LSP
- It might have helped to know what topics the discussion was to cover
- Smaller room
- Not so much focus on one area (community safety)
- Team building exercises
- More information on how the discussion will be taken forward
- Better attendance
- More attendance by Chief Executives, Leaders and senior managers. Or does their lack of attendance reflect their attitudes and opinions.
- To a certain extent – wanted MORE on the district/county links in East Sussex
- Clearer explanation about what will happen to “actions” fed through

Q4 Do you have any suggestions for future activities to develop relations between LSPs and thematic partnerships?

- It is useful to bring together people from different organisations. A few more elected members to raise their awareness might be useful.
- No
- More workshop-based, thematic area-based events
- More regular engagement like this at county level
- Thematic gatherings
- Follow on with an event that develops thematic themes across county
- Annual event
- This event should be repeated annually/6 monthly to share good practice & celebrate successes & recognise constraints to achieve outcomes
- List of attendees & contacts details would be useful
- Specific review of links between CYPP and local-level LSPs
- ½ day sessions by theme so that those of us working in other areas can understand each other’s methods, successes, targets and failures/continuing challenges
- This was a good event - please do it again – annually?
- Similar would be helpful
- Environment – engagement and community action/leadership
- Get to grips with some of the problems – a back to basics approach
- Some kind of problem-solving exercise (possibly using emergency planning style exercises)
- I’m interested in the “LAP” bottom-up approach and the important wider themes of econ/social/environment and how these may not be fully reflected in LAPS – particularly the “environment”
- E.g. meeting between chairs of all countywide partnerships. More discussions about how they link to ESSP
- Some good news case study being shared to show what can be achieved – rural and urban
- Thematic, cross-county workshops. Developmental event
- The economic prosperity theme members have agreed to meet further to build on the discussions

| Q5 How do you rate the organisation of this event? | <i>Very good</i> | <i>Good</i> | <i>Poor</i> | <i>Very poor</i> | <i>No answer</i> |
|---|------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|
| Before the event | 39% | 54% | 4% | | 3% |
| On the day of the event | 43% | 57% | | | |

| Q6 | What did you think about the venue? | <i>Very good</i> | <i>Good</i> | <i>Poor</i> | <i>Very poor</i> | <i>No answer</i> |
|-----------|--|------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Location of Venue | 18% | 64% | 14% | | 4% |
| | Accessibility of venue | 36% | 61% | 3% | | |
| | Food/refreshments | 22% | 64% | | | 14% |